RESEARCH

Open Acc<u>ess</u>



A key enzyme of animal steroidogenesis can function in plants enhancing their immunity and accelerating the processes of growth and development

George V. Shpakovski^{1*}, Svetlana G. Spivak^{2,3}, Irina N. Berdichevets^{2,4}, Olga G. Babak², Svetlana V. Kubrak², Alexander V. Kilchevsky², Andrey V. Aralov¹, Ivan Yu. Slovokhotov¹, Dmitry G. Shpakovski¹, Ekaterina N. Baranova⁵, Marat R. Khaliluev^{5,6} and Elena K. Shematorova¹

From 4th International Scientific Conference "Plant Genetics, Genomics, Bioinformatics and Biotechnology" (PlantGen 2017) Almaty, Kazakhstan. 29 May - 2 June 2017

Abstract

Background: The initial stage of the biosynthesis of steroid hormones in animals occurs in the mitochondria of steroidogenic tissues, where cytochrome $P450_{SCC}$ (CYP11A1) encoded by the CYP11A1 gene catalyzes the conversion of cholesterol into pregnenolone – the general precursor of all the steroid hormones, starting with progesterone. This stage is missing in plants where mitochondrial cytochromes P450 (the mito CYP clan) have not been found. Generating transgenic plants with a mitochondrial type P450 from animals would offer an interesting option to verify whether plant mitochondria could serve as another site of P450 monooxygenase reaction for the steroid hormones biosynthesis.

Results: For a more detailed comparison of steroidogenic systems of Plantae and Animalia, we have created and studied transgenic tobacco and tomato plants efficiently expressing mammalian *CYP11A1* cDNA. The detailed phenotypic characterization of plants obtained has shown that through four generations studied, the transgenic tobacco plants have reduced a period of vegetative development (early flowering and maturation of bolls), enlarged biomass and increased productivity (quantity and quality of seeds) as compared to the only empty-vector containing or wild type plants. Moreover, the *CYP11A1* transgenic plants show resistance to such fungal pathogen as *Botrytis cinerea*. Similar valuable phenotypes (the accelerated course of ontogenesis and/or stress resistance) are also visible in two clearly distinct transgenic tomato lines expressing *CYP11A1* cDNA: one line (No. 4) has an accelerated rate of vegetative development, while the other (No. 7) has enhanced immunity to abiotic and biotic stresses. The progesterone level in transgenic tobacco and tomato leaves is 3–5 times higher than in the control plants of the wild type.

Conclusions: For the first time, we could show the compatibility in vivo of even the most specific components of the systems of biosynthesis of steroid hormones in Plantae and Animalia. The hypothesis is proposed and substantiated that the formation of the above-noted special phenotypes of transgenic plants expressing mammalian *CYP11A1* cDNA is due to the increased biosynthesis of progesterone that can be considered as a very ancient bioregulator of plant cells and the first real hormone common to plants and animals.

Keywords: Transgenic plants, Cytochrome P450_{scc} (CYP11A1), Tobacco, *Botrytis cinerea*, Progesterone, Mitochondria, Tomato, Abiotic stresses, Steroid hormones

* Correspondence: gvs@ibch.ru

¹Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

Sterols, their secondary metabolites and steroid hormones play a significant role in the regulation of several crucial physiological processes in living organisms. Until recently, it was generally accepted that brassinosteroids, the specific class of steroid hormones, play the most important regulatory and signalling roles in plants [1-5]. However, in recent years a significant amount of experimental data have been obtained to suggest that the plant sterols themselves (β-sitosterol, stigmasterol, campesterol and cholesterol) hold considerable regulatory activity, regardless of their conversion to brassinosteroids [6, 7]. In addition, sterols are the primary substrates for the synthesis of a number of secondary metabolites (such as progesterone), which participate in the regulation of physiological functions in plants [8-10]. It also became clear that the most important role in controlling the synthesis of steroid compounds not only in animals, but also in plants is played by such steroidogenic proteins as cytochrome P450 dependent monooxygenases, steroid reductases and ketosteroid isomerases [11-17]. Moreover, some stages of the biosynthesis of brassinosteroids and animal steroid hormones are evolutionarily conserved. For instance, it has been shown that the steroid 5 α -reductases of plants (DET2) and animals (5 α R) are orthologs and catalyze the same type of reaction in the biosynthesis of steroids: the conversion of progesterone to 5α -pregnane-3,20-dione (5α -dihydroprogesterone, 5α -DHP) in animal cells and the convertion of campestenone into 5α -campestanone – an intermediate in the biosynthesis of plant brassinosteroids [17–19]. The $\Delta 5$ hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/A5-A4-ketosteroid isomerase (3 β -HSD) that plays an important role in the biosynthesis of animal steroid hormones is also found in plants, where it performs the same function [13, 20].

Despite the described similarities of the processes of steroidogenesis in plants and animals, there is at least one fundamental difference between them. The initial stage of animal steroid hormones biosynthesis occurs in the mitochondria of steroidogenic tissues, where cytochrome P450_{scc} encoded by the CYP11A1 gene with participation of electron transfer chain proteins adrenodoxin reductase [AdR] and adrenodoxin [Ad] catalyzes the conversion of cholesterol into pregnenolone – the general precursor of all steroid hormones, starting with progesterone. Although the existence of homologous to AdR and Ad plant ferredoxin reductase [MFDR] and two closely related ferredoxins [MFDXs] of the mitochondrial type was clearly demonstrated for Arabidopsis thaliana [21-23] and recently for some Digitalis and Solanaceae family species [24], this stage is missing in plants where mitochondrial cytochromes P450 (the mito CYP clan) have not been found. Instead, the biosynthetic pathway for campesterol provides the precursors for brassinosteroids and phytohormones involved in the regulation of plant growth and development. At the same time, a number of animal steroid hormones (pregnenolone sulfate, progesterone, 17-hydroxyprogesterone, 16-dehydroprogesterone, androstenedione) and receptors, which mediate mitochondrial cholesterol uptake in animal cells have also been recently discovered in many divergent plant species (see Additional File 1) [9, 25–27].

In this regard, the development and study of transgenic plants expressing cDNA of a mammalian CYP11A1 gene encoding for mitochondrial cytochrome P450_{SCC} (CYP11A1) are of significant interest. The detailed study of these plants showed for the first time that even the most specific component of the protein system of biosynthesis of animal steroid hormones is compatible with the hormonal system of plants and may be actively involved in its work, significantly improving the processes of growth, development and even plant immunity.

Methods

Plant material

Tomato seeds (Solanum lycopersicum L. cv. Rekordsmen) were kindly provided by the All-Russian Research Institute of Irrigated Vegetable, Melon and Ground Growing (Astrakhan oblast, Kamyziyak, Russia). The seeds were surface sterilized in 96% ethanol for 30 s and in 20% solution (ν/ν) of the commercial bleach 'Ace' with few drops of Tween-20 for 7 min, then rinsed with sterilized distilled water five times for 1 min each. After surface sterilization, the seeds were cultured on Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal medium [28] without growth regulators supplemented with 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% (w/v) agar. The pH was adjusted to 5.8 before autoclaving. The cultures were maintained under 25 ± 1 °C, with fluorescence light (65 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) during the long-day photoperiod (16 h light/8 h dark). The previously developed transgenic tobacco plants of the N. tabacum cv. Petit Havana SR1 line expressing full sized CYP11A1 cDNA of cytochrome P450_{SCC} from the bovine adrenal cortex [29], the wild type plants and the plants transformed with an empty pGreen0229 vector [30], of new generations T3, T4 and T5 were cultivated at 25 °C with an illumination intensity of 1000–2000 lx and a photoperiod of 16 h.

Phenotype analysis of transgenic tobacco plants

For comparative phenotypic analysis, we used T4 and T5 generation plants. Seeds were sterilized at the surface and couched in a Murashige and Skoog medium [28] containing selective agent phosphinotricin. Phosphinotricin resistant plants were open field planted. All of the plants were tested for gene integration and expression by molecular genetic methods. During the course of the plants growth and development, the start time of

flowering and different morphological parameters were checked. The study was performed on 15–25 independent plants of each line.

Estimates of the speed of tobacco seeds sprouting and germination

Seeds germinated in Petri dishes on a filter paper. A Petri dish with slits was put on the grill with the tray. A strip of filter paper was passed through the slots at the bottom of the Petri dish providing the necessary hydration of the seeds. On the top Petri dishes was covered with caps to prevent seeds drying. Trays were incubated in a thermostat at 25 °C. In each variant there were 4 replications of 50 seeds. To determine the speed of germination number of germinated seeds was taken into account every day for 10 days. Seeds with the germ root of about 1 mm were counted as sprouted. Evaluation of germination was performed on the 10th day. Normally developed seedlings, the abnormal ones and not germinated seeds were taken into account. Statistical data processing was performed using software Microsoft Excel.

Testing CYP11A1 transgenic tobacco plants for resistance to fungal phytopathogen *Botrytis cinerea*

The phytopathogenic fungus of the species Botrytis cinerea causing gray mold was used as the test culture. In the provoking conditions, isolated leaves of medium age and of the same size were placed in Petri dishes on filter paper moistened with water. 10 µl suspension of spores of the pathogen containing 2000 spores/ml applied at 6 points on the sheet, after which the Petri dishes with leaves were tightly closed to create a high humidity and were placed and maintained in climate chamber at a temperature of 24 °C and 16-h photoperiod. The leaves of untransformed plants and plants containing only the empty vector plasmid (with no CYP11A1 cDNA) were used as controls. The degree of damage of the leaves lamina, inversely proportional in relation to the relative resistance of the plant to Botrytis cinerea phytopathogen, were evaluated in points according to the number and size of necrotic spots on the 10th days after infection. Testing was also carried out by a different approach, i.e. by the method of counting the number of germinated spores in a drop of the juice from the leaves. 20 µl suspension of spores of Botrytis *cinerea* (10^5 spores/ml) and 20 µl of untreated protein extracts from control (untransformed and transformed with an empty vector) and the CYP11A1 transgenic plants were applied into microcuvettes that were subsequently incubated for 24 h at 24 °C. Counting of the number of germinated spores was carried out under the microscope.

Agrobacterium strain and plasmid

Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain AGL0 [31], carrying the binary vector plasmid pBI121mod-P450_{SCC} was used for tomato transformation. The T-DNA of plasmid pBI121mod-P450_{SCC} containing *CYP11A1* cDNA, encoding cytochrome P450_{SCC} from the bovine adrenal cortex, driven by the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S promoter (*CaMV 35S*), as well as the neomycin phosphotransferase II (*nptII*) gene which confers resistance to kanamycin for selecting of putative transformants (Fig. 1). The plasmid pBI121mod-P450_{SCC} was introduced into *A. tumefaciens* strain AGL0 using the freezethaw method [32].

Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of tomato

Cotyledons with petioles from 10 to 12-day-old tomato seedlings were used as explants for transformation. Prior to co-cultivation, the cotyledon explants were precultured for 3 days on agar-solidified MS medium containing 2 mg/l zeatin and 0.1 mg/l indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) with the abaxial surface in contact with the medium. Such hormonal treatments induced the highest shoots regeneration frequency from cotyledons of *tomato cv.* Rekordsmen [33].

Agrobacterium tumefaciens AGL0 harboring pBI121mod-P450_{SCC} binary vector was grown in a 250-ml capacity conical glass flask overnight at 28 °C in 50 ml of YEB liquid medium [34] containing 25 mg/l rifampicin and 50 mg/l kanamycin in a rotary shaker (150 rpm). Agrobacterium tumefaciens was resuspended at an $OD_{600} = 0.6-0.7$ with MS liquid medium. Then, precultured explants were immersed in the above bacterial suspension for 20 min, blotted with sterile filter paper and transferred on Petri dishes containing agarsolidified MS medium, on the surface of which filter paper disks was placed. Co-cultivation was carried out at 16 °C in the dark for 72 h. After co-cultivation, the explants were washed with MS liquid medium supplemented with 300 mg/l timentin followed by rinsing five times to remove the bacterial overgrowth. Then the disinfected cotyledon explants were cultured on agar-solidified MS selection medium for callus induction containing 2 mg/l zeatin, 0.1 mg/l IAA, 25 mg/l kanamycin and 300 mg/l timentin. The explants were subcultured to fresh medium every 15 days. The explants survived in the selection medium generated calli from their cut ends. These calli were cultured in 300 cm³ glass cultures vessels containing medium for shoot regeneration (agar-solidified MS medium supplemented with 2 mg/l zeatin, 0.1 mg/l IAA, 25 mg/l kanamycin and 150 mg/l timentin). When regenerated kanamycin-resistant shoots were about 1.5-cm long, they were detached from the callus and transferred to root induction medium (half



strength agar-solidified MS medium containing 0.2 mg/l indole-3-butyric acid and 100 mg/l kanamycin). Rooted independent transgenic lines of tomato were clonally multiplied in vitro and adapted to soil. Transgenic and non-transgenic tomato plants were grown in plastic pots filled with sterilized soil, at standard agricultural conditions (22–25 °C day time temperature and 18–19 °C night time temperature, humidity 60–70%, illumination 2500 lx). Plants were propagated by grafting of lateral shoots formed on the adult plants.

DNA/RNA extraction, PCR analyses and other molecular genetic techniques

Total genomic DNA was isolated from leaves of putative transformants and wild-type (WT) tomato plants according to Edwards et al. [35] with additional extraction with saturated phenol. To determine the quality of the isolated DNA for PCR analysis, the sequence of the Tom52 actin gene of tomato (NCBI, U60482) was amplified. The amplification was also carried out on the sequence of the selective (nptII) and target (CYP11A1) genes, as well as the virulence genes of the Agrobacterium tumefaciens (virB). Primer sequences, PCR conditions and the expected size of amplified products are presented in Additional File 2. The PCRs were carried out in a 25-µl volume containing 2.5 µl of 10X PCR buffer, 0.5 µl of 10 mM dNTPs, 1 µl of forward and reverse primers at 10 qM, 1 μ l of 5 U μ l⁻¹ Tag polymerase, 17 μ l of deionized water and 2 μ l (~60 ng) of a DNA template. PCR products were carried out in a MJ Mini Personal ThermalCycler (Bio-Rad). The products were separated in 1.0% agarose gel in an electrophoresis system (Amersham Electrophoresis Power Supply-EPS 301 + Hoefer HE 33 Mini Horizontal Submarine Unit).

The expression of *CYP11A1* cDNA in transgenic tomato plants was assessed using RT-PCR technique (PCR coupled with reverse transcription). Isolation of total cell RNA from leaves of 5-week-old plants grown in a phytotron was carried out according to [36] or by using kit Total RNA Purification Kit (Jena Bioscience). cDNA was synthesised on the RNA template using the Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT-qPCR (Thermo Scientific). PCR with synthesized cDNA was performed with primers complementary to the sequences of the target gene *CYP11A1*, the size of the expected PCR fragment was 520 bp [37]. A fragment (709 bp) of the gene encoding large ribosomal subunit of tomato (25S rRNA) [38] was used as a "housekeeping" gene. cDNA isolated from the original Rekordsmen variety was used as negative control. Standard procedures of molecular cloning were used for recombinant plasmid constructions [34].

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The seeds of wild-type tobacco plants (Nicotiana tabacum cv. Petit Havana SR1) and two transgenic homozygous tobacco lines (TR-2 and TR-7) of T4 generation expressing CYP11A1 cDNA, encoding cytochrome $P450_{SCC}$ from the bovine adrenal cortex, were aseptically germinated on the MS basal medium [28] supplemented with 3% (w/v) sucrose and 0.7% (w/v) agar. The middle sections of cotyledons from the seedlings were fixed for 24 h in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Merck, Germany) dissolved in 0.1 M Sorensen's phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) with 1.5% sucrose. Then the samples were washed, postfixed in 1% OsO4 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), dehydrated in ethanol of increasing concentrations (30, 50, 70, 96, and 100%) and in propylene oxide (Fluka, Germany). The samples were embedded in mixture of Epon-812 and Araldite (Merck, Germany) according to the standard procedure. For TEM the embedded samples were sectioned with diamond knife using ultramicrotome LKB-V (LKB, Sweden), placed on formvar coated grids and stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate [39]. The ultrathin sections were examined and photographed with electron microscope H-500 (Hitachi, Japan). Ultrastructure of mitochondria in mesophyll cells was studied. The average cross-sectional area of mitochondria was determined using the Cell-A software (Olympus, Japan). At least 200 mitochondria were scored for each treatment.

The study of the transgenic tomato plants resistance to drought

It consisted of two stages: study of the reaction of samples on the long absence of irrigation and investigation of the recovery of plants after the resumption of irrigation. The experiment was carried out in the conditions of the glass box in the absence of irrigation, accompanied by high day (35–40 °C) and night (14–16 °C) temperatures. Plants in the development stage of 3–4 true

leaves were grown in the absence of irrigation for 3 weeks, biometric indicators were recorded at 7th, 14th and 21th days. Biometric parameters (plant height; mean increment; the number of plants, wilted on 50 and 100%) of transgenic lines No. 4 and No. 7 were compared with each other and with the parent variety Recordsmen grown in the same conditions. Sample size: 15 plant of the variety Recordsmen, 60 plants of T2 generation of the transgenic lines No. 4 and No. 7.

Isolation of steroid fractions from tobacco and tomato leaves

Tobacco and tomato leaves were frozen in liquid nitrogen and lyophilized. Total lipids were extracted from 0.1 g of dry plant material by a CHCl₃–MeOH–H₂O mixture (5: 10: 4) 3 times for 4 ml. Extracts were joined, dried using a rotary evaporator at 85 °C, and separated by TLC using plates with silica gel 60 F 254 by Merck (200 × 200 mm, 0.5 mm thick) in a CHCl₃–EtOAc system (4:1). One part of the plate was colored by a reagent with anisaldehyde (0.5 ml of anisaldehyde in 10 ml 98% H₂SO₄, 85 ml MeOH, and 5 ml 96% H₂SO₄). Zones that coincided by chromatographic mobility with cholesterol, pregnenolone, and progesterone were scratched out of the uncolored part of the plates, extracted by CHCl₃, and dried using a rotary evaporator. The dry residue was dissolved in MeOH.

Determination of the progesterone content in transgenic plants

It was carried out using a combination of the TLC method and immunoenzymatic assay. Progesterone enzyme immunoassay was applied to leaf extracts from transgenic tobacco and tomato plants, wild-type plants, and to fractions, the chromatographic mobility of which under purification by TLC coincides with the chromato-graphic mobility of progesterone, according to the service instructions (the recommendations of the kit manufacturer) for the PROGESTERONE EIA kit. The kit was developed at the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, and is intended for quantitative progesterone determination in human blood serum by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. The kit was kindly provided by A.G. Pryadko.

Results

Phenotype of transgenic tobacco plants expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA

The accelerated growth and development of the T4 generation of transgenic tobacco plants expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA

We have continued to study the *CYP11A1* transgenic *Nicotiana tabacum* plants described by us earlier [29]. As with previous generations of transgenic lines

CYPL1-CYPL4, plants of the T4 and T5 generations by their habitus was significantly superior to control plants already in the early stages of development (Additional File 3). Moreover, as noted by us earlier for previous generations [37], transgenic tobacco lines CYPLs begun flowering on average 2 weeks earlier than control plants and the line of the transformants pG1. Formation of bolls in all lines of transgenic plants of T4 generation did also started at least a week earlier compared to the control plants and plants with empty vector (Fig. 2). Seeds of all lines of transgenic plants of the T4 generation (CYPL1-CYPL4) and control plants (K1) and plants with empty vector (pG1) was tested for germination according to methodology described in Methods. Such indicators of physiological seed quality as vigour of seeds sprouting, which characterizes the ability of seeds to germinate quickly and about together (at the same time) have been evaluated. The energy of germination was determined on the third day. It was noted that on the third day in all lines of the CYP11A1 transgenic plants had sprouted from 70 to 91% of seeds (lines CYPL1-91%, CYPL2-82.5%, CYPL3-70.5%, CYPL4-70%), and in control variants (line K1 and the line pG1 with an empty vector) – only 21% and 58%, respectively (Fig. 3).

Table 1 summarizes the major morphological characteristics of the T4 generation of the studied lines of independent transgenic and control plants. The data presented show that all lines of the *CYP11A1* transgenic tobacco plants significantly differ from the control wild type and plants transformed with an empty vector by such important physiological indicators as plant height, diameter of stem, size of leaves, number of bolls and weight of thousand seeds (Table 1).

Transgenic tobacco plants expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA are resistant to infection by fungal pathogens Botrytis cinerea

Testing of the transgenic tobacco plants expressing CYP11A1 cDNA of animal origin on resistance to nonspecific Solanaceae pathogen, fungus Botrytis cinerea, was carried out both on isolated leaves (Fig. 4), and by the method of counting the number of germinated spores in a drop of the juice from the leaves. The results obtained are given in the Additional File 4 and show that while the arithmetic means of the number of germinated spores for the control transgenic (with empty vector) and a non-transgenic tobacco lines are varying from 80 to 96%, for the transgenic tobacco lines expressing the cDNA of mammalian CYP11A1 gene the number of germinated spores are much lower (ranging from 7 to 21%). These results indicate the suppression of growth of pathogenic fungi by protein extracts isolated from transgenic plants that contain the gene under study. This is



also evidenced from the results of the bioassay for resistance to *Botrytis cynerea*, based on the elucidation of the size and number of necrotic spots on plant leaves 10 days after infection, represented in Fig. 4. In stable transgenic lines of tobacco expressing cDNA of *CYP11A1* gene, the average areas of affected sites on the leaves on 10th days after infection were approximately 12 times smaller than that in control plants. In some cases the areas of necrosis on the *CYP11A1* transgenic leaves were completely absent.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the cotyledon leaves of control and CYP11A1 transgenic tobacco plants and the ultrastructure of their mitochondria

TEM results revealed significant differences in the size and structure of mitochondria from the mesophyll cells between wild-type and transgenic tobacco plants. In wild-type plants, mitochondria were round or oval.



Fig. 3 Dynamics of seeds germination of transgenic tobacco plants of the T4 generation. CYPL1–CYPL4 – independent lines of transgenic tobacco plants expressing *CYP11A1* cDNA; K1 – control plants of wild type; pG1 – plants transformed with an empty vector (not containing *CYP11A1* cDNA)

Mitochondria with double membrane, electron light matrix and few peripherally localized and disordered cristae are clearly visible (Fig. 5a). The average cross-sectional area of mitochondria from the mesophyll cells of wild-type tobacco plants was 0,817 μ m² (Fig. 6). Unlike wild-type plants, the mitochondrial cross-sectional areas of transgenic tobacco line TR-2 and TR-7 were 1.8 and 1.7 times less, respectively. There was no significant difference in the mitochondrial cross-sectional areas between transgenic lines expressing *CYP11A1* cDNA. The evenly distributed, round or elongated mitochondria are predominant. They are characterized by electron-dense matrix, as well as the large number of well-formed and enlarged cristae, some of which are parallel to each other (Fig. 5b, c).

Construction of transgenic tomato plants expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA

Tomato transformation

Two independent experiments were carried out to produce transgenic tomato plants containing the CYP11A1 cDNA. A total of 460 pre-cultured explants were used for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Figure 7 illustrates the different stages of callus formation and shoot regeneration from cotyledon explants inoculated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain AGL0 harboring the pBI121mod-P450 $_{SCC}$ plasmid. Compact and light green callus began to form on the cut-edge of the explant 2 to 3 weeks after transformation (Fig. 7a). Explants which did not produce callus were discarded. The frequency of callus formation from transformed explants on selection medium supplemented with 25 mg/l kanamycin was 5.2%. Actively growing callus is excised from the explant and subcultured separately on fresh culture medium as above. Shoots regeneration from callus was observed after 6 weeks of culture (Fig. 7b). Through more than 2 month of selection, 8 kanamycin-resistant

Plant line	Plant height, cm*	Stem diameter, mm*	Leave square, cm ² *	Number of bolls (seed pods), pieces*	Weight of 1000 seeds, mg*
CYPL1	139 ± 12	1.74 ± 0.42	480 ± 65	63 ± 14	69.8 ± 1.9
CYPL2	132 ± 14	1.82 ± 0.43	425 ± 87	51 ± 21	80.2 ± 0.9
CYPL3	130 ± 11	1.87 ± 0.60	338 ± 58	80 ± 14	65.2 ± 1.5
CYPL4	124 ± 12	1.96 ± 0.50	610 ± 102	58 ± 20	80.5 ± 1.3
pG1	89 ± 24	1.49 ± 0.28	210 ± 31	39 ± 13	50.3 ± 0.9
K1	87 ± 15	1.24 ± 0.28	241 ± 38	39 ± 13	50.3 ± 1.7

 Table 1 Morphological features of the transgenic tobacco plants of T4 generation

CYPL1–CYPL4 – independent lines of transgenic tobacco plants expressing CYP11A1 cDNA; pG1 – plants transformed with an empty vector (not containing CYP11A1 cDNA) and K1 – control plants. The data represent average values (\pm standard deviation) for the n = 30 samples

*All these differencies between transgenic (CYPL1-CYPL4) and control (K1 and pG1) lines are statistically valid (P < 0.05)

calli with multiple shoot regeneration were obtained (Fig. 7c). The elongated shoots when they were 1.5 cm long were cut off and transferred onto root induction medium supplemented with 100 mg/l kanamycin. All independent putative plantlets produced roots within 1–2 weeks (Fig. 7d). Rooted tomato plantlets were clonally multiplied in vitro and adapted to soil.

PCR analysis of putative transgenic tomato plants

Total genomic DNA was isolated from the young leaves of all kanamycin resistant tomato plants as well as wildtype (WT) tomato. To assess the suitability of the isolated plant DNA for PCR analysis, the sequence of the *Tom52* was amplified (Fig. 8a). An 742 bp fragment was observed from all tested putative tomato transformants with specific primers for the *nptII* gene, whereas no corresponding band was detected in the untransfomed plant (Fig. 8b). Out of 8 transgenic plants, 7 were found with integration of the target gene into the *Solanum lycopersicum* L. genome (Fig. 8c). To exclude the possibility of *Agrobacterium* contamination in transformed plants, total DNAs were analysed with PCR using specific



primers for *virB* gene. Contamination by the *Agrobacterium virB* gene was not detected in the analyzed samples (Fig. 8d). Based on the results of PCR analysis, we calculated transformation efficiency, which was 1.5%. Transformation efficiency was assessed as the percentage of independent transgenic tomato lines, containing the selective and target genes, per total number of transformed explants.

The presence of *CYP11A1* cDNA expression in transgenic tomato plants was assessed using RT-PCR (PCR with reverse transcription) (see Methods). The results which are shown on Fig. 9 clearly indicate that all represented individual plants of the lines No. 4 and No. 7 efficiently express mammalian *CYP11A1* cDNA, and expression of this heterologous coding sequence in line No. 4 is somewhat higher than in line No. 7 (as judged by comparison of the multiplex PCR bands' intensities in lanes 11–12 & 13–14). This could probably indicate double insertion of *CYP11A1* cDNA in the transgenic tomato line No. 4.

Phenotype of transgenic tomato plants expressing mammalian *CYP11A1* cDNA

Common and specific features of phenotype of the T3 generation of transgenic tomato plants (lines No. 4 and No. 7) expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA

Both transgenic tomato lines (No. 4 and No. 7), containing in their genomes mammalian *CYP11A1* cDNA that is stably transmitted in seed breeding, have revealed some general patterns of onset and phenotypic differences in growth, development and formation of fruits in comparison with the original tomato variety Recordsmen. Overall for transgenic lines was an impaired development of the generative system (impaired development of flower brushes, low fruits set). Part of the buds were falling after flowering (Additional File 5), some samples formed atypically large sepals and in some cases the absence of stamens and pistils was observed. Seeds formed in the fruits were different in line No. 4 and line No. 7. A significant part of the fruits of the line No. 7 was seedless or only with the seed germ, while the fruit of the line



No. 4 showed normal seed formation (Additional File 6). The reduction in fertility of plants of the transgenic tomato lines is probably due to the impaired development of anthers, which in turn may be a result of the reduction of amount of (24R)-brassinosteroids, as we previously identified in the case of *CYP11A1* transgenic tobacco plants [11, 12, 37]. And the other common feature of the two tomato transgenic lines was the increased resistance to such abiotic stresses as drought and dehydration (see next section).

Interestingly, the two different valuable phenotypes, that we noted in *CYP11A1* transgenic tobacco plants, were clearly separated in the two transgenic tomato lines we have obtained: one line (No. 4) have an accelerated rate of vegetative development (Additional File 7), while the other (No. 7) have enhanced immunity to abiotic and biotic stresses. Plants of the line No. 7 are characterized by high resistance to stress loads what can be seen already from intense green color of their leaves and stem branches and large leaf blades with corrugated surface



(Additional File 8) and especially by their intensive growth at the end of the vegetative season (see Table 2).

Both transgenic tomato plants lines (No. 7 & No. 4), expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA, are more resistant to drought and prolonged dehydration in comparison with wild type variety Recordsmen

Growing of control and transgenic tomato plants under drought and dehydration conditions showed that tomato plants during 3 weeks have demonstrated the growth in height on 2-3 cm, despite the lack of watering. Maximum plant growth was observed for the line No. 7, with individual plants reaching up to 7-12 cm. The height of plants of the control variety Recordsmen and some plants of the line No. 4 for 3 weeks remained at the same level. All the studied plants had different degree of wilting of the leaves and the shoot apex. By the end of the 3rd week of the experiment, the maximum number of wilted plants (33%) was observed in the cultivar Recordsmen and among the plants of the line No. 4 (10%). Plants of the line No. 7 were the most resistant to the lack of irrigation, and were characterized by a slight wilting of 3 from 15 plants investigated, while no plant died (Table 3).

Thus, both transgenic lines showed a lower degree of exposure to drought and showed increased resistance to no irrigation for 3 weeks, compared to the control sort Recordsmen. Most of the plants of transgenic lines exceeded the Recordsmen' plants for the growth of the stem during the drought period: in plants of the line No. 7 stem height increased by more than 3 cm, in plants from the line No. 4 - by 1.8 cm, while the average stem growth in plants of the control variety Recordsmen was 0.9 cm (Table 3). Similarly, more active regeneration and enhancement of growth was observed in the lines No. 7 and No. 4 after the resumption of irrigation.

ELISA determination of progesterone in transgenic tobacco and tomato plants

We determined the relative content of progesterone in the extracts from the control and transgenic tobacco



and tomato plants using ELISA. To conduct this analysis, we chose one line of transgenic tobacco (TR-7) and two independent lines of transgenic tomato plants (No. 4 and No. 7), all stably inheriting and expressing the *CYP11A1* transgene, and all showing clear differences in their phenotype from the control plants for most of the studied parameters. Since plants may contain steroid compounds that give cross-reactions with antibodies to progesterone, we determined its content not only in total extracts from leaves of plants, but also in the fractions with chromatographic mobility identical to progesterone after separation of the total extracts by TLC. It turned out that in both cases, the content of progesterone in leaves of transgenic plants is 3-5 times higher than its content in the leaves of plants of wild type (Fig. 10).

Discussion

To more thoroughly compare steroidogenic systems of plants and animals, we have constructed and analyzed transgenic tobacco and tomato plants expressing *CYP11A1* cDNA encoding bovine mitochondrial cytochrome P450_{SCC}.





Through five consecutive generations transgenic tobacco plants expressing heterologous sequence of the mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA have a distinct, clearly distinguishable phenotype characterized by the reduced period of vegetative development (early flowering and maturation of bolls), enlarged biomass and increased productivity (quantity and quality of seeds), and also greater resistance to biotic stresses: positive effect of CYP11A1 on resistance of transgenic tobacco plants to the infection by fungal phytopathogen Botrytis cinerea was for the first time detected. Subtle changes in mitochondria of the transgenic Nicotiana tabacum plants expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA were demonstrated by transmissive electron microscopy indicating the successful incorporation of the mammalian cytochrome P450_{SCC} into plant mitochondria, similarly to the situation previously shown by in vitro experiments [40]. This is the first direct evidence that cytochrome P450-dependent monooxygenase can be incorporated into the mitochondria of living plant cell with the ability to successfully operate in it.

Together with previously published data of other authors on profound relatedness of electron transfer chains of P450-dependent monooxygenases in mammalian and plant mitochondria (the amino acid residues responsible for protein-protein interactions are fully conserved in plant MFDXs and MFDR proteins [21–24]), the results obtained support our previous findings about functional compatability of Plantae and Animalia steroidogenic systems [37] and indicate the existence in plants of another steroid regulatory system in addition to brassinosteroids. Moreover, our previous results about the decreased level of 24R–brassinosteroids in seeds of transgenic tobacco plants expressing *CYP11A1* cDNA [37] indicate that brassinosteroids' and progesterone' hormonal systems of plants in some cases can act as antagonists having opposite effects on the activity of plant cells and physiological processes in plants.

Although the only currently known process in plants, which involves mitochondrial ferredoxins (AtMFDX1 and AtMFDX2) and mitochondrial ferredoxin reductase (AtMFDR) is the final stage in the synthesis of vitamin B8 (biotin) [22], these data of C. Alban's group and the analysis of the proteome of plant mitochondria [41] clearly indicate that at least MFDX1, MFDX2 and MFDR of Arabidopsis and potato are localized and function in the plant mitochondria, and thus are able to maintain the mitochondrial P450 reactions. Thus, we for the first time proved that individual components of biosynthesis systems of animal and plant steroid hormones are compatible with each other in vivo and can work together, significantly improving the processes of growth, development, and even plant immunity. These results are in good agreement with earlier and more recent studies of other authors investigating the influence of exogenous progesterone on the physiology and reproduction of plants. In particular, these works showed that progesterone even at very low concentrations stimulates maturation of pollen and the growth of pollen tube in tobacco [42], induces flowering and generative development of wheat and Arabidopsis, and speeds up in the light and in the dark periods the growth of Arabidopsis thaliana seeds [10], enhances the growth of *lh*-lines of peas with a mutation in the gibberellin(s) [9], stimulates the activity of antioxidant enzyme catalase from chickpeas [43], inhibits the growth of many pathogenic and saprophytic bacteria and fungi, such as Rhizopus nigricans [9, 10].

It should be noted that a number of animal steroid hormones (pregnenolone sulfate, progesterone, 17hydroxyprogesterone, 16-dehydroprogesterone, androstenedione) and receptors which mediate mitochondrial cholesterol uptake in animal cells have also been recently discovered in many divergent plant species [9, 26, 27] (Additional file 1). As can be seen from the foregoing

Table 2 The growth characteristic of the transgenic tomato plants on different ontogenesis stages

5		0		0	5	
	Plant height			Growth increment		
	June, cm	July, cm	September, cm	June–July, cm	July–September, cm	Total (from June until September), cm
Recordsmen (mean)	79.9	138.1	139.1	58.2	1.0	59.2
Line No. 4 (average)	60.0	112.8	126.5	52.8	13.7	66.5
Line No. 7 (average)	57.0	114.1	158.4	57.1	44.3	101.4

Table 3 Biometric indicators of the reaction on prolonged drought of the control (cultivar Recordsmen) and two independent homozygous transgenic lines No. 7 (7–15, 7–21, 7–27) and No. 4 (4–18, 4–34) of tomato *Solanum lycopersicum* L. plants of generation T2

Plant lines	Medial plant height, cm		Mean increment, cm	Number of plants, wilted on 50%	Number of plants, wilted on 100%	
Control	7 days	17.4 ± 0.8				
	21 days	18.3 ± 0.8	0.9	5	7	
7–15	7 days	12.9 ± 0.8				
	21 days	15.9 ± 0.7	3.0	8	0	
7–21	7 days	15.7 ± 0.7				
	21 days	17.8 ± 0.8	2.1	10	2	
7–27	7 days	11.5 ± 0.7				
	21 days	16.9 ± 1.0	5.4	3	0	
4–18	7 days	12.0 ± 0.8				
	21 days	14.2 ± 0.7	2.2	5	2	
4–34	7 days	14.1 ± 0.5				
	21 days	15.3 ± 0.5	1.2	3	6	

scheme of reactions, the biosynthesis of all these steroid hormonal compounds could be easily derived from the four main plant sterols (β -sitosterol, stigmasterol, campesterol and cholesterol) via pregnenolone and progesterone as the key steroid regulators. From earlier data it is known that progesterone was found in many different plants (*Arabidopsis*, tomato, potato, rice, pea, apple seeds, *Holarrhena, Strophanthus, Nerium, Digitalis, Punica, Erysimum, Haplopappus*, etc.) [9, 44, 45] from various families (*Apocynaceae, Scrophullareaceae, Lythraceae, Brassicaceae, Astraceae*, etc), which testifies to its probable presence in all plants. With the regard to all the data and the fact that a membrane progesterone binding protein (MSBP1), which is a homologue of an animal membrane progesterone binding protein, was found in



tomato leavesC(Nt) – control (WT) tobacco plants; T(Nt) – transgenic tobacco plants; C(SI) – control (WT) tomato plants; T(SI-4) – transgenic tomato plants, line No. 4; T(SI-7) – transgenic tomato plants, line No. 7.

Arabidopsis thaliana [46], the progesterone could be regarded as a new real hormone of the plant cell forming a core of novel, but very ancient by its evolutionary origin, regulatory steroid system in plants. By means of binding to MSBP1 as a cell membrane receptor, progesterone not only takes part in regulation of plant growth and development, but probably is also responsible for the quick response to various external stimuli, such as different abiotic and biotic stresses.

At the same time, even our purely bioinformatic analysis shows that hormonal 'progesterone' system of plants and animals have important differences. Although both plants and animals have the membrane progesterone receptors represented by the family of membrane steroid-binding protein (MSBP) [46] or MAPR (membrane-associated progesterone receptors), nuclear receptors of progesterone with the much greater binding capacities and specificity are present only in animals. Although may be a homologue of the estrogen receptor (estrogen receptor, ER), the evolutionarily very first of the mammalian nuclear steroid hormone receptors, is present at least in some plants, such as *Solanum glaucophyllum* [47].

Conclusion

The compatibility in vivo of even the most specific components of biosynthesis systems of steroid hormones in Plantae and Animalia was demonstrated for the first time. Cytochrome CYP11A1 (P450_{SCC}), a key enzyme of animal steroidogenesis, can function in transgenic (expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA) CYP11A1 tobacco and tomato plants accelerating the processes of growth and development and enhancing the plants resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses. The hypothesis is proposed and substantiated that the formation of the above-noted special phenotype of transgenic plants expressing mammalian CYP11A1 cDNA is due to the increased biosynthesis of progesterone, which can be considered as a very ancient bioregulator of plant cells and the first hormone common to plants and animals. The results indicate a definite similarity (truly fundamental kinship) of the steroid compounds biosynthesis and steroid regulatory systems of plants and animals and can be used in new biotechnologies for agriculture and pharmacology.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Scheme of biosynthesis of the detected mammalian steroid hormones in plants. (DOC 74 kb)

Additional file 2: Description of primers, conditions of amplification and product length for PCR analyses. (DOC 34 kb)

Additional file 3: Control (1, hight – 15 cm) and transgenic (2, hight - 72 cm) tobacco plant after one month of growth in the field conditions. (DOC 157 kb)

Additional file 4: Assessment of fungicidal properties of transgenic tobacco plants. (DOC 28 kb)

Additional file 5: Compound racemes with large sepals and undeveloped pistils and stamens of the transgenic line No. 7. (DOC 237 kb)

Additional file 6: Fruit size and seed formation of the transgenic lines No. 4 and No. 7. (DOC 633 kb)

Additional file 7: Growth stages of the *CYP11A1* transgenic tomato plants in the second decade of September. In the foreground – line No. 4 followed by the control variety Recordsmen (far behind), on the left side – line No. 7. Note the accelerated course of ontogenesis stages in the line No. 4 (as a result – precocity of some fruits and yellow leaves). Line No. 7 is characterized by greening and the intensive growth at the end of the vegetative season. (DOC 107 kb)

Additional file 8: Specific features of the *CYP11A1* transgenic line No. 7: an intense green color of leaves and stem branches, large leaf blades with the corrugated surface, low amount of seeds in fruits, increased resistance to diseases. (DOC 72 kb)

Abbreviations

5αR: 5α-reductase; Ad: Adrenodoxin; AdR: Adrenodoxin reductase; CST: Cytoplasmic sulfate transferase; CYP: Cytochrome P450; DET2: De-etiolated 2; DHP: Dihydroprogesterone; HSD: Hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase; MFDR: Mitochondrial-type ferredoxin reductase of plants; MFDX: Mitochondrial-type ferredoxins of plants; P450_{SCC}: P450 side chain cleaving; pCaMV 35S: the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S promoter; TEM: Transmission electron microscopy; WT: Wild type

Acknowledgements

Experiments were partially carried out using the equipment provided by the IBCH core facility (CKP IBCH, supported by Russian Ministry of Education and Science, grant RFMEFI62117X0018). The authors also thankful to Dr. A.G. Pryadko (IBCh, NAS of Belarus) for providing us with the PROGESTERONE EIA kit.

Funding

This project was supported by grants from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (projects Nos. 10–04-90051 & 16–54-00227) and Belarusian Republican Foundation for Basic Research (projects Nos. B10R-199 & B16R-129). Publication costs of the article were covered by the grant from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (the project No. 16–54-00227).

Availability of data and materials

All relevant data supporting the findings can be found within the manuscript and its additional files.

About this supplement

This article has been published as part of *BMC Plant Biology* Volume 17 Supplement 1, 2017: Selected articles from PlantGen 2017. The full contents of the supplement are available online at https://bmcplantbiol.biomedcentral.com/ articles/supplements/volume-17-supplement-1.

Authors' contributions

GVS, SGS and EKS conceived and designed the experiments. INB, OGB, SVK, IYuS, ENB, MRK, and EKS performed the experiments. GVS, SGS, OGB, AVK, AVA, DGS, ENB, and MRK performed data analysis. OGB, MRK, SGS, EKS and GVS drafted the manuscript. EKS and GVS revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests. GVS, SGS and INB hold patents of Russian Federation (No. 2237717, issued 10.10.2004, priority – from 20.12.2002; Byull. Izobret., 2004, no. 10) and Republic of Belarus (No. 9201, issued 25.01.2007, priority – from 30.12.2002) on recombinant plasmids and

methods for obtaining *CYP11A1* transgenic plants with increased productivity and resistance to fungal phytopathogens.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia. ²Institute of Genetics and Cytology, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk, Belarus. ³Belarusian State Medical University, Minsk, Belarus. ⁴Timiryazev Institute of Plant Physiology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia. ⁵All-Russia Research Institute of Agricultural Biotechnology, Moscow, Russia. ⁶Russian State Agrarian University – Moscow Timiryazev Agricultural Academy, Moscow, Russia.

Published: 14 November 2017

References

- 1. Clouse SD, Sasse I. BRASSINOSTEROIDS: Essential regulators of plant growth and development. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 1998;49:427–51.
- Khripach VA, Zhabinskii VN, de Groot A. Brassinosteroids: a new class of plant hormones / San Diego: Academic Press. 1999. 263 p.
- Bishop GJ, Yokota T. Plants steroid hormones, brassinosteroids: current highlights of molecular aspects on their synthesis/metabolism, transport, perception and response. Plant Cell Physiol. 2001;42(2):114–20.
- Vert G, Nemhauser JL, Geldner N, Hong F, Chory J. Molecular mechanisms of steroid hormone signaling in plants. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2005;21: 177–201.
- 5. Clouse SD. Brassinosteroids. Arabidopsis Book. 2011;9:e0151.
- 6. Clouse SD. Arabidopsis mutants reveal multiple roles for sterols in plant development. Plant Cell. 2002;14(9):1995–2000.
- Lindemann P. Steroidogenesis in plants Biosynthesis and conversions of progesterone and other pregnane derivatives. Steroids. 2015;103:145–52.
- Geuns J. Steroid hormones and plant growth and development. Phytochemistry. 1978;17(1):1–14.
- lino M, Nomura T, Tamaki Y, Yamada Y, Yoneyama K, Takeuchi Y, Mori M, Asami T, Nakano T, Yokota T. Progesterone: its occurrence in plants and involvement in plant growth. Phytochemistry. 2007;68(12):1664–73.
- Janeczko A. The presence and activity of progesterone in the plant kingdom. Steroids. 2012;77(3):169–73.
- Azpiroz R, Wu Y, LoCascio JC, Feldmann KA. An Arabidopsis brassinosteroiddependent mutant is blocked in cell elongation. Plant Cell. 1998;10(2):219–30.
- Choe S, Dilkes BP, Fujioka S, Takatsuto S, Sakurai A, Feldmann KA. The DWF4 gene of Arabidopsis encodes a cytochrome P450 that mediates multiple 22a-hydroxylation steps in brassinosteroid biosynthesis. Plant Cell. 1998; 10(2):231–43.
- Finsterbusch A, Lindemann P, Grimm R, Eckerskorn C, Luckner M. Delta(5)-3beta- hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase from Digitalis lanata Ehrh. – a multifunctional enzyme in steroid metabolism? Planta. 1999;209(4):478–86.
- 14. Mizutani M. Impacts of diversification of cytochrome P450 on plant metabolism. Biol Pharm Bull. 2012;35(6):824–32.
- Nelson D, Werck-Reichhart DA. P450-centric view of plant evolution. Plant J. 2011;66(1):194–211.
- Ohnishi T, Yokota T, Mizutani M. Insights into the function and evolution of P450s in plant steroid metabolism. Phytochemistry. 2009;70(17–18):1918–29.
- Li J, Nagpal P, Vitart V, McMorris TC, Chory JA. Role for brassinosteroids in light-dependent development of Arabidopsis. Science. 1996;272(5260):398–401.
- Li J, Biswas G, Chao A, Ruessel DW, Chory J. Conservation of function between mammalian and plant steroid 5a-reductases (5aR). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94(8):3554–9.
- Rosati F, Danza G, Guarna A. New evidence of similarity between human and plants steroid metabolism: 5a-reductase activity in Solanum malacoxylon. Endocrynology. 2003;144(1):220–9.
- Herl V, Frankenstein J, Meitinger N, Muller-Uri F, Kreis W. Delta 5– 3betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (3 beta HSD) from Digitalis lanata. Heterologous expression and characterisation of the recombinant enzyme. Planta Med. 2007;73(7):704–10.
- Ohta D, Mizutani M. Redundancy or flexibility: molecular diversity of the electron transfer components for P450 monooxygenases in higher plants. Front Biosci. 2004;9:1587–97.

- Picciocchi A, Douce R, Alban C. The plant biotin synthase reaction. Identification and characterization of essential mitochondrial accessory protein components. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(27):24966–75.
- Takubo K, Morikawa T, Nonaka Y, Mizutani M, Takenaka S, Takabe K, Takahashi MA, Ohta D. Identification and molecular characterization of mitochondrial ferredoxins and ferredoxin reductase from Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol. 2003; 52(4):817–30.
- Shematorova EK, Slovokhotov IY, Khaliluev MR, Berdichevets IN, Baranova EN, Babak OG, Shpakovski DG, Spivak SG, Shpakovski GV. Mitochondria as a possible place for initial stages of steroid biosynthesis in plants. J. Stress Physiol. Biochemist. 2014;10(4):85–97.
- 25. Janeczko A, Skoczowski A. Mammalian sex hormones in plants. Folia histohcimica et cytobiologia. 2005;43(2):71–9.
- Simersky R, Novak O, Morris DA, Pouzar V, Strnad M. Identification and quantification of several mammalian steroid hormones in plants by UPLC-MS/MS. J Plant Growth Regul. 2009;28(2):125–36.
- Pauli GF, Friesen GB, Godecke T, Farnsworth NR, Glodny B. Occurrence of progesterone and related animal steroids in two higher plants. J Nat Prod. 2010;73(3):338–45.
- Murashige T, Skoog FA. Revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue culture. Physiol Plant. 1962;15(3):473–97.
- Spivak SG, Berdichevets IN, Yarmolinsky DG, Maneshina TV, Shpakovski GV, Kartel NA. Construction and characteristics of transgenic tobacco Nicotiana tabacum L. plants expressing CYP11A1 cDNA encoding cytochrome P450_{SCC}. Russian J. Genetics. 2009;45(9):1067–73.
- Hellens RP, Edwards EA, Leyland NR, Bean S, Mullineaux PM. pGreen: a versatile and flexible binary Ti vector for agrobacterium-mediated plant transformation. Plant Mol Biol. 2000;42(6):819–32.
- Lazo GR, Stein PA, Ludwig RAADNA. Transformation competent Arabidopsis genomic library in agrobacterium. Nature Biotech. 1991;9(10):963–7.
- Höfgen R, Willmitzer L. Storage of competent cells for agrobacterium transformation. Nucleic Acids Res. 1988;16(20):9877.
- Khaliluev MR, Bogoutdinova LR, Baranova GB, Baranova EN, Kharchenko PN, Dolgov SV. Influence of genotype, explant type, and component of culture medium on in vitro callus induction and shoot organogenesis of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L). Biol Bull. 2014;41(6):512–21.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch FF, Maniatis T. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Lab. Press; 1989.
- Edwards K, Johnstone C, Thompson CA. Simple and rapid method for the preparation of plant genomic DNA for PCR analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 1991; 19(6):1349.
- Chomczynski P, Sacchi N. Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate–phenol–chloroform extraction. Anal Biochem. 1987;162(1):156–9.
- Spivak SG, Berdichevets IN, Litvinovskaya RP, Drach SV, Kartel NA, Shpakovski GV. Some peculiarities of steroid metabolism in transgenic Nicotiana tabacum plants bearing the CYP11A1 cDNA of cytochrome P450_{SCC} from the bovine adrenal cortex. Russian J Bioorganic Chem. 2010;36(2):224–32.
- Perry KL, Palukaitis P. Mol gen genet. Transcription of tomato ribosomal DNA and the organization of the intergenic spacer. Mol Gen Genet. 1990; 221(1):103–12.
- 39. Reynolds ES. The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in electron microscopy. J Cell Biol. 1963;17(1):208–12.
- Luzikov VN, Novikova LA, Spiridonova VA, Isaeva LV, Whelan J, Hugosson M, Glazer E. Design of heterologous mitochondria: import of cattle cytochrome P-450_{SCC} precursor into plant mitochondria. Biochem Mosc. 1994;59(7): 1098–101.
- Salvato F, Havelund JF, Chen M, Rao RS, Rogowska-Wrzesinska A, Jensen ON, Gang DR, Thelen JJ, Møller IM. The potato tuber mitochondrial proteome. Plant Physiol. 2014;164(2):637–53.
- Ylstra B, Touraev A, Brinkmann AO, Heberle-Bors E, Tunen A. Sterol hormones stimulate germination and tube growth of in vitro maturated tobacco pollen. Plant Physiol. 1995;107(2):639–43.
- Erdal S, Dumlupinar R. Mammalian sex hormones stimulate antioxidant system and enhance growth of chickpea plants. Acta Physiol Plant. 2011;33:1011–7.
- 44. Gawienowski AM, Gibbs CC. Biosynthesis of pregnenolone from cholesterol in Punica granatum. Phytochemistry. 1969;8(12):2317–9.
- Lindemann P, Luckner M. Biosynthesis of pregnane derivatives in somatic embryos of Digitalis lanata. Phytochemistry. 1997;46(3):507–13.

- Yang XH, Xu ZH, Xue HW. Arabidopsis membrane steroid binding protein 1 is involved in inhibition of cell elongation. Plant Cell. 2005;17(1):116–31.
- 47. Milanesi L, Monje P, Boland R. Presence of estrogens and estrogen receptorlike proteins in Solanum glaucophyllum. BBRC. 2001;289(5):1175–9.

- Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:
- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

